

---

## **Guidelines for Reviewers – *Journal of Internal Medicine***

### **The peer-review process at the *Journal of Internal Medicine***

Submitted manuscripts in scope for publication will be sent out for single-anonymized peer-review. The reviewer will see the author's name during the peer-review process, but the reviewer's name will not be revealed in return.

Potential reviewers are chosen by the JIM editors based upon their expertise in the research area that is relevant to the manuscript.

### **Instructions to reviewers**

The reviewer invitation is sent by email from the Research Exchange Review Manuscript system. The invitation contains a link from which the reviewer can accept or decline the invitation. The standard time for the review process is normally 14 days, but extensions of a deadline can be discussed with the Editorial Office by email ([info@jim.se](mailto:info@jim.se)).

It is important that the acceptance of the invitation is based upon the reviewer having the right expertise, relevant time to do the review and the objectivity necessary to provide an unbiased assessment of the research.

Please consider any conflict(s) of interest that may have an impact on the impartiality of the review (including in relation to any Company and/or commercial product mentioned in the article). Please contact the Editorial Office before accepting the invitation if you would like to discuss what constitutes a serious conflict.

For more information and guidance regarding the reviewer's role, please read [Wiley's Reviewer Guide](#).

### **When the review has been submitted**

The submitted review will be enclosed in the decision letter sent to the authors.

The editors will consider all received reviews in determining whether or not the manuscript can be accepted for publication. If a revision of the manuscript is advised, the reviewer will be invited to review the manuscript again once the revision is re-submitted.

The reviewer will be notified about the decision and will receive a copy of other reviewers' comments.

### **Get recognition**

The reviewers have the opportunity to receive recognition for their review contributions through the Web of Science Reviewer Recognition Service (formerly Publons).